

Carl Perkins Professional Development Application Rubric (FY26 Funding)



Applicant Name: _____
 Name of Conference/Training: _____
 Conference/Training Dates: _____

Amount Requested: _____
 Department: _____
 Conf/Training Location: _____

Name of Reviewer: _____

SCORING CRITERIA	3 7-10 Exceeds criteria	2 6 Meets Criteria	3- 0 Does not meet criteria	Comments
Quality of Proposal:				
1. Description: Proposal clearly details the purpose of attending this professional development opportunity.				
2. Impact of Training: Proposal articulates how the professional development will impact teaching, student support, program or industry changes, program quality, or describes impact on Special Populations.				
3. How will learning be shared: Proposal articulates how the recipient will share what is learned with colleagues.				
TOTAL SCORE	0	0	0	

Review instructions: Committee members complete a rubric for each application. The CTE Office will complete the CTE Review (Tab 2). Committee members should send completed rubrics to CTE Office at least 2 days prior to committee meeting where scores will be combined and ranked for discussion. Aggregate scores of less than 21 points will lead to the proposal being returned to applicaiont.

